The main 
$$\Gamma$$
 countering use result for the cell problem states so follows: 26.11.2024  
The Fix sepero and f:  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow [0, +\infty]$  satisfying  
i) I as a carathériday function;  
ii) I are the map  $f(\cdot, 2)$  is a periodic;  
iii) for a.e. xell the map  $f(x, \cdot)$  is convex;  
ior) then exists cell  $\pi$  at  $|2|^r \leq f(x, 2) \leq c(|2|^r + 4)$ ,  
and define the sequence  $F_e: L^p(0, 4) \longrightarrow [0, +\infty]$  ( $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{+1}$ ).  
and define the sequence  $F_e: L^p(0, 4) \longrightarrow [0, +\infty]$  ( $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{+1}$ ).  
Then, there exists flow:  $\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow [0, +\infty)$  convex s.t.  $\{F_e\}_e^{-1} = Converges to$   
 $F_{Row}: L^p(0, 4) \longrightarrow [0, +\infty]$   
 $u \longmapsto \int_{0}^{4} f(x, -u^{-1}(x)) dx + if us W^{1,p}(0, s)$   
 $u \longmapsto \int_{0}^{4} f(x, -u^{-1}(x)) dx + if us W^{1,p}(0, s)$   
 $u \longmapsto \int_{0}^{4} f(x, -u^{-1}(x)) dx + u \leq W^{1,p}(0, s)$  and  $u(0) = u(s)$ ?.  
Remark: Note that, by the direct methods in Cole. Vore, the previous minimization  
problem has alwaye shored that  $f_{hom}(\frac{1}{2}) = \lim_{x \to \infty} g_{\tau}(\frac{1}{2})$ ,  
 $u \mapsto g_{\tau}(\frac{1}{2}) = \min_{x \to \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} f(x, \frac{1}{2} + u^{-1}(x)) dx + u \in W^{1,p}(0, -\tau) \right\}$ .  
i.e. it looks there of a supervisition of the start of the s

In this 4-dimensional case, one can use a "direct opproach" of E-coursequee,  
which is broad on undertainding at first who can be a conditate for the  
I-direct and then show I-convergence, by definition.  
Unfortunatly, it will not be possible in the m-dimensional case (mod) where  
our made to use an "indirect opproal".  
Before proving the previous theorem, we need the following preliminory perult.  
Lemma Set us, use W<sup>1,0</sup>(0,1), 30M, notably 
$$u_3 \longrightarrow u$$
 in L<sup>0</sup>(0,1) and let  
 $\frac{1}{2E_3} \in \mathbb{R}^+$  he will that  $E_3 > 0$  as  $3 + \infty$ .  
Then, then exists a sequence  $\{ns_3\}_{3} \in W^{1,0}(0,1)$  set.  
a)  $n_3 - u \in W^{1,0}(0,1)$   $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$   
b)  $n_3 \longrightarrow u$  in L<sup>0</sup>(0,1)  
 $j = u$  in L<sup>0</sup>(0,1)  
c) lineary  $\int_0^1 f\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon_3}, n_3^-(x)\right) dx \in \lim_{3 \to \infty} \int_0^1 f\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon_3}, u_3^-(x)\right) dx$ .  
where  $f, p$  satisfy the hypothess of the previous theorem.  
Broof: Fix  $\psi \in W_0^{1,0}(0,4)$  and origine that  $\psi > 0$  in  $(0,1)$ . Thus, let  
 $n_3 = u + (u_3-u) \land \psi (-\psi)$  (truncation)  $\forall_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ .  
Where  $\begin{cases} f, g = \min_{3} f, g_{3}^{2} \\ f \lor g = \max_{3} f, g_{3}^{2} \\ f \lor g = \max_{3} f, g_{3}^{2} \end{cases}$ .  
Note that  $n_3 - u = \begin{cases} u_3 - u \\ \pm \psi \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} (u_3 - u) \frac{1}{2} \psi + \frac{1}{2} u_3 - u \frac{1}{2} \psi$   
 $u = \begin{cases} u_3 - u \\ \frac{1}{2} \psi = u_3 - u \end{bmatrix} (u_3 - u) \frac{1}{2} \psi + \frac{1}{2} u_3 - u \frac{1}{2} \psi$   
 $u = \begin{cases} u_3 - u \\ \frac{1}{2} \psi = u_3 - u \end{bmatrix} (u_3 - u) \frac{1}{2} \psi + \frac{1}{2} u_3 - u \frac{1}{2} \psi$ 

Also (b) is satisfied. Indeed, by construction 
$$\forall p \in [a, +o)$$
  
If  $\sigma_3 - u \parallel_p$ , belows like  $||u_2 - u \parallel_p$ , by 3 by reards.  
We coulded by reasoning the reliably of (c).  
Dente  $E_3(x) \doteq \{x \in (o, a) : \sigma_3(x) \pm u_3(x)\}$  by the and notice that, by construction,  $|E_5| \rightarrow o$  as  $j \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then  
 $\int_0^{d} f(\frac{x}{e_3}, \sigma_3'(x)) dx = \int_0^{d} f(\frac{x}{e_3}, u_3'(x)) dx + \int_{E_3}^{d} f(\frac{x}{e_3}, \sigma_3'(x)) dx$   
 $\int_0^{d^*} (a_1) E_3 (a_2) = \int_0^{d} f(\frac{x}{e_3}, u_3'(x)) dx + \int_{E_3}^{d} f(\frac{x}{e_3}, \sigma_3'(x)) dx$   
 $\int_0^{d^*} f(\frac{x}{e_3}, \sigma_3'(x)) dx = \int_0^{d} f(\frac{x}{e_3}, u_3'(x)) dx + \int_{E_3}^{d} c(a+|\sigma_3'|^2) dx$   
 $\int_0^{d^*} f(\frac{x}{e_3}, \sigma_3'(x)) dx + \int_{E_3}^{d} c(a+|\sigma_3'|^2) dx$   
 $\int_0^{d^*} f(\frac{x}{e_3}, \sigma_3'(x)) dx + \int_{E_3}^{d} c(a+|\sigma_3'|^2) dx$   
 $\int_{e^*}^{e^*} f(\frac{x}{e_3}, u_3'(x)) dx + \int_{E_3}^{e^*} c(a+|\sigma_3'|^2) dx$ .  
 $\int_{e^*}^{e^*} f(\frac{x}{e_3}, u_3'(x)) dx + \int_{E_3}^{e^*} c(a+|\sigma_3'|^2) dx$ .  
 $\int_{e^*}^{e^*} f(\frac{x}{e_3}, u_3'(x)) dx + \int_{E_3}^{e^*} c(a+|\sigma_3'|^2) dx$ .  
 $\int_{e^*}^{e^*} f(\frac{x}{e_3}, u_3'(x)) dx + \int_{E_3}^{e^*} c(a+|\sigma_3'|^2) dx$ .  
 $\int_{e^*}^{e^*} f(\frac{x}{e_3}, u_3'(x)) dx + \int_{E_3}^{e^*} c(a+|\sigma_3'|^2) dx$ .  
 $\int_{e^*}^{e^*} f(\frac{x}{e_3}, u_3'(x)) dx + \int_{E_3}^{e^*} c(a+|\sigma_3'|^2) dx$ .  
 $\int_{e^*}^{e^*} f(\frac{x}{e_3}, u_3'(x)) dx + \int_{E_3}^{e^*} c(a+|\sigma_3'|^2) dx$ .  
 $\int_{e^*}^{e^*} f(\frac{x}{e_3}, u_3'(x)) dx + \int_{e^*}^{e^*} c(a+|\sigma_3'|^2) dx$ .  
 $\int_{e^*}^{e^*} f(\frac{x}{e_3}, u_3'(x)) dx + \int_{e^*}^{e^*} c(a+|\sigma_3'|^2) dx$ .  
 $\int_{e^*}^{e^*} f(\frac{x}{e_3}, u_3'(x)) dx + \int_{e^*}^{e^*} c(a+|\sigma_3'|^2) dx$ .  
 $\int_{e^*}^{e^*} f(\frac{x}{e_3}, u_3'(x)) dx + \int_{e^*}^{e^*} c(a+|\sigma_3'|^2) dx$ .  
 $\int_{e^*}^{e^*} f(\frac{x}{e_3}, u_3'(x)) dx + \int_{e^*}^{e^*} c(a+|\sigma_3'|^2) dx$ .  
 $\int_{e^*}^{e^*} f(\frac{x}{e^*}, u_3'(x)) dx + \int_{e^*}^{e^*} c(a+|\sigma_3'|^2) dx$ .  
 $\int_{e^*}^{e^*} f(\frac{x}{e^*}, u_3') dx + \int_{e^*}^{e^*} c(a+|\sigma_3'|^2) dx$ .  
 $\int_{e^*}^{e^*} f(\frac{x}{e^*}, u_3') dx + \int_{e^*}^{e^*} c(a+|\sigma_3'|^2) dx$ .  
 $\int_{e^*}^{e^*} f(\frac{x}{e^*}, u_3') dx + \int_{e^*}^{e^*} c(a+|\sigma_3'|^2) dx$ .  
 $\int_{e^*}^{e^*} f(\frac{x}{e^*}, u_3') dx + \int_{e^*}^{e^*} c(a+|\sigma_3'|^2) dx + \int_{e^*}^{e^*} c(a$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \hline \textbf{STEPs} & : \mbox{ Answer that $u$ is (pressure) affine, i.e. if we partitive the interval  $[0, s] = 0$   $[0, c] = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} [a_{k,k}, a_{k}]$ , with  $a_{0}=0$ .  $a_{n}=s$  and  $a_{k}c_{n}, \forall k$ , there  $u(x) = m_{x} \times t q_{k}$   $\forall x \in [a_{k-s}, a_{k}]$ , with  $m_{n}, q_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus,  $F_{kon}(u) = \int_{0}^{4} f_{kon}(u'(x)) dx = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{a_{m-1}}^{a_{m}} f_{kon}(m_{k}) dx$ . We aim to find a recover requese  $[u_{0}^{2}]_{3}$  for  $u$ . We again proceed in tips.   
**A)** By definition of fear,  $\forall k \in [s, ..., m]$  there exists a competitor  $a_{k} \in W'$ ,  $f(o, s)$  st.   
 $f_{kon}(m_{k}) = \int_{0}^{4} f(x, m_{k} + v_{k}'(s)) dx$ .   
**b** we we may assume  $v_{k}$  a special  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ .   
**2)** Fix K e  $s_{k-n}^{n}$ .  $\forall x \in [a_{k-1}, a_{k})$  we denote  $u_{3}(x) = \frac{m_{k} x + q_{k}}{u_{k}(x)}$ .   
**b** we we may assume  $v_{k}$  a special  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ .   
**2)** Fix K e  $s_{k-n}^{n}$ .  $\forall x \in [a_{k-1}, a_{k})$  we denote  $u_{3}(x) = \frac{m_{k} x + q_{k}}{u_{k}(x)}$ .   
**b** we we may assume  $v_{k}$  a special  $u_{k}$  denote  $u_{3}(x) = \frac{m_{k} x + q_{k}}{u_{k}(x)}$ .   
**c** being assume  $v_{k}$  a special  $u_{k}$  denote  $u_{3}(x) = \frac{m_{k} x + q_{k}}{u_{k}(x)}$ .   
**c**  $u_{k}(x) = \int_{0}^{x} f(x, m_{k} + v_{k}'(x)) dx$ .   
**b**  $u_{k}(x) = \int_{0}^{x} f(x, m_{k} + v_{k}'(x)) dx$ .   
**c**  $u_{k}(x) = \int_{0}^{x} f(x, m_{k} + v_{k}'(x)) dx = \int_{0}^{x} f(x) + \frac{1}{(a_{k})} = \frac{1}{(a_{k}, a_{k}, a_{k})}$ .   
**c**  $u_{k}(x) = \int_{0}^{x} f(x) + \frac{1}{(a_{k}, a_{k}, a_{k})} = \frac{1}{(a_{k}, m_{k} + v_{k}'(x))}$ .   
**b**  $u_{k}(x) = u_{k}(x) + \frac{1}{(a_{k})} + \frac{1}{(a_{k})} = \frac{1}{(a_{k})} = \frac{1}{(a_{k})} + \frac{1}{(a_{k})} = \frac{1$$$

If we give all the picen together it may be a lack of continuity  
3) For any 3 eN and ke [s, ..., m] we now define 
$$R_{\mu}^{2} = E_{3} \begin{bmatrix} e_{\mu} \end{bmatrix}$$
. Its subcomp  
 $0 = Q_{\mu}$   
 $q_{\mu}$   
 $q_{\mu} = Q_{\mu}$   
 $q_{\mu} = Q_$ 

2) I' limit inequality: 
$$\forall u \in L^{c}(a, s) \forall u_{3} \rightarrow u$$
 (strongly) in  $L^{c}(a, s)$  it helds  
Localitation
$$F_{limit}(u) \in [1^{-1} \text{ Limit} F_{\xi_{3}}(u_{3}) \text{ or, aquivality,}$$
cliscue tration
$$F_{limit}(u) \in (1^{-1} \text{ Limit} F_{\xi_{3}})(u) \quad \forall u \in L^{c}(a, s).$$
[In this case we will use the first statement).
Fix use  $L^{c}(a, s)$  and  $\frac{1}{2}u_{3,3} \in L^{c}(a, s)$  at  $u_{3} \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $L^{c}(a, s)$ .
We now use a localization argument. First, remined that
$$l_{kum}(\frac{1}{2}) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \min \left\{ \frac{4}{T} \int_{0}^{t} f(x, \frac{1}{2} + u^{c}(x)) dx : u \in W^{c, p}_{a}(x, y, x, +T) \right\}.$$
Note that
$$g_{x}^{*}(\frac{1}{2}) = \min \left\{ \frac{4}{T} \int_{0}^{t} f(x, \frac{1}{2} + u^{c}(x)) dx : u \in W^{c, p}_{a}(x, y, x, +T) \right\}.$$
Note that
$$limit \left\{ \frac{F_{g}}{F_{g}}(u_{3}) + u \text{ (strongly in the strongly in the strongly)} \right\}$$

$$Let in, then such that
$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} f(\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{t} f(x, \frac{1}{2} + u^{c}(x)) dx : u \in W^{c, p}_{a}(x) + u_{a}(x) dx \text{ in the strongly)}$$

$$Let in, then such that
$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} f(\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{t} (x, \frac{1}{2} + u^{c}(x)) dx = u \in W^{c, p}_{a}(x) + u_{a}(x) dx \text{ in the strongly)}$$

$$Let in, then such there is the substance of the substance is the substance is the substance of the substance$$$$$$

Without low of generative, by the previous lineae applied in the interval 
$$\left(\frac{w}{m}, \frac{w_{es}}{m}\right)$$
, we assume that  $u_{0}\left(\frac{w}{w}\right) = u\left(\frac{w}{m}\right)$  is an end  $\forall k \in [0, ..., m]$ .  
Thue,  
 $(w \text{ the endpoints of the interval})$   
 $(w \text{ s}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} \lim_{w \to \infty} \frac{1}{2}$ 

when  $\tilde{u}_{m}(x) = z_{m}^{m}$  is the interpolation line of a in the points K M  $\left( X \in \left( \frac{k}{m}, \frac{k+1}{m} \right) \right)$ / u um and un is also precewise affine. 0 1 Then limited  $F_{\varepsilon_3}(u_3) \ge F_{\text{low}}(\tilde{u}_m) \xrightarrow{m \neq \infty} F_{\text{low}}(u)$  (being  $\tilde{u}_m$  strongly convergent to u in  $W'^{(p)}(o, 1)$ ).