A: We can focus on two diffunt cores:  
(Ass 1 We can work on compact sets. In fact, in a metric setting,  
the compactness of the set X (or, of a subset K containing  
the sequence {u\_3}, ) would be equivalent to the condition of  
requested compactness: any require in X has a convergent  
subsequence.  
Q: Set {u\_3}, 5 X, 
$$\overline{u} \in X$$
 and  $\int u_{3,2} = \{u_3\}$ , and assume that  
 $u_3 \rightarrow \overline{u}$  in X (for simplicity,  $\{u_{3,2}\}_{u}$  is melabeled  $\{u_3\}_{s}$ ).  
· Under which corections, if  $\{u_{3}\}_{s}$  is a minimizing sequence for F,  
 $F(\overline{u}) \stackrel{?}{=} \inf \{F(u) : u \in X\}$ ?  
 $F(\overline{u}) = \inf \{F(u) : u \in X\}$ ?  
 $F(\overline{u}) = \inf \{F(u) : u \in X\}$ ?  
 $F(\overline{u}) = \inf \{F(u) : u \in X\}$  set  
 $F(\overline{u}) = \inf \{F(u) : u \in X\}$  may fail.  
Example: Assume X = R, endowed with the Euclidean metric, and conside  
 $F: R \rightarrow R$  and  $\{X_3\}_{s} = \{1 + \frac{1}{3}\}_{s}$   
 $X \rightarrow \{\frac{x}{2}\} = \frac{x}{2}$ 

Ex: Slow that 
$$\{x_{3}\}$$
 is a minimizing require for F. but it does not  
converge to the minimizer of F.  
Ex: Country F: R  $\longrightarrow$  R and study its outige points.  
 $x \longmapsto x^{2}e^{-x}$   
Show that  $\{z_{3}\}_{,, 0}$  is a minimizing require that does not converge in R.  
Def: Let  $\{u_{3}\}_{,, 0} < x$  converge (up to sublequences) to the X and let  
 $F: X \longrightarrow R$ . We say that F is (aquatisky) lower receiver interess if  
 $T(t) \leq \lim_{i \to i \to 0} T(u_{3})$ .  
The property of lower securicontinuity can be generalized to the setting  
of topological spaces as follows:  
Def: let  $(X, Z_{x})$  be a topological space and let F:  $X \longrightarrow R$ .  
We say that F is lower securicontinuous out X if  $\forall x \in X$   
 $F(x) \leq \lim_{i \to i \to 0} T(y) = \sup_{i \to i} \int_{y \in U} F(y)$   
(or equivalently)  
 $(y \to x = U(x) st. \forall y \in U F(y) > t.$   
By definition at follows immediately that the topological lower securicontinuity  
implies the sequential one.  
The converse is true if X sotisfies the first axion of countability :  
Every merchlowed of any x is contained and choose a countable  
fourly:  $\forall x \in X = \frac{1}{N_{x}} \sum_{i \in N} U(x) st. \forall N \in U(x) \exists i \in N st. N_{x} \in N$ .  
Reversely is first axion of countable  
for  $y = x = \frac{1}{N_{x}} \sum_{i \in N} U(x) st. \forall N \in U(x) \exists i \in N st. N_{x} \in N$ .  
Reversely is true if X sotisfies the first axion of countability :  
Every merchlowed of any x is contained and four a countable  
fourly:  $\forall x \in X = \frac{1}{N_{x}} \sum_{i \in N} U(x) st. \forall N \in U(x) \exists i \in N st. N_{x} \in N$ .  
Reversely: Every metric space is first-countable.

Set us provide a counterexample of a sequentially lower remicontinuous  
functional, that is not (toplography) bases remissediments.  
Example: Let 
$$\Omega$$
 be a bound, open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  with  $m \ge i$  and define  
 $C^{\infty}(\Omega) = \int u \in \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ : smooth - with continuous desirutives  
 $-C^{\infty}(\Omega) = \int u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ : rupp(u) is compact  $\int$ , where  
 $\operatorname{Supp}(u) = \{ x \in \Omega : u(x) \neq 0 \}$   
 $C^{\infty}_{c}(\Omega) = \{ u : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable s.t. } \int u^{2}(x) dx < \infty \}$ , which is  
 $\alpha$  Bound space evolved with the moun  
 $\|u\|_{c} = (\int_{\Omega} u^{2}(x) dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $u \in l^{2}(\Omega)$   
 $\cdot H^{4}(\Omega) = W^{4,2}(\Omega) = \{ u \in l^{2}(\Omega) : Du \in l^{2}(\Omega) \}$ , where  $Du$  is the  
"work" dravotime of u, meaning that  
 $\int_{\Omega} Du(x) \cdot \sigma(x) dx = -\int_{\Omega} u(x) \cdot \sigma'(x) dx \quad \forall x \in C^{4}_{c}(\Omega)$ .  
 $H^{4}(\Omega)$  is still on influite dimensional Baucal space, endowed  
 $uith$  the moun  
 $\|u\|_{u^{4}(\Omega)} = \bigcup_{\alpha} (\Omega) = \frac{1}{C^{\infty}(\Omega)} \|u\|_{u^{4}(\Omega)}^{1/2}$ .  
 $H^{4}(\Omega) = \bigcup_{\alpha} (\Omega) = \frac{1}{C^{\infty}(\Omega)} \|u\|_{u^{4}(\Omega)}^{1/2}$ .  
 $H^{4}(\Omega) = \bigcup_{\alpha} (\Omega) = \frac{1}{C^{\infty}(\Omega)} \|u\|_{u^{4}(\Omega)}^{1/2}$ .  
To provide a counterexample we must work in a reting in which the  
first axion of counterexample we must work in a reting in which the  
first axion of counterexample we must work in a reting in which the  
first axion of counterexample we must work in a reting in which the  
first axion of counterexample we must work in a reting in which the  
first axion of counterexample we first of  $(H^{4}_{\alpha}, H^{-1})$ .  
We runied that the weak topology on  $H^{4}_{\alpha}(\Omega)$  is the "leagest" topology  
on  $H^{4}_{\alpha}(\Omega)$  for which any collection of maps  $\{T, i\}_{i=1}^{1/2}$ , with  
 $T_{i} \in H^{-1}(\Omega) = \{ G : H^{4}_{\alpha}(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  linear and bounded}, is continuous.

$$\begin{array}{l} \underbrace{\operatorname{Rug}}_{V \in \mathbb{C}} & \forall \overline{u} \in \operatorname{H}^{1}(\Omega), \ \forall e = 0 \ \forall \ \left\{ \overline{F}_{u}, ..., \overline{F}_{u} \right\} \subseteq \operatorname{H}^{-1}(\Omega), \ \text{the set} \\ & V = \left\{ u \in \operatorname{H}^{1}_{o}(\Omega) : \left| \overline{F}_{u}(u - \overline{u}) \right| 4 \in \forall i \in \left\{ e, ..., k \right\} \right\} \text{ is a monomaliant of } \\ & o \quad \text{for the weak topology Tweak.} \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ &$$

Othunice ∃ 
$$\phi$$
: H<sup>4</sup><sub>0</sub>(Ω) → R<sup>k</sup>  
 $(F_{3}(u), ..., F_{u}(u))$   
and so H<sup>4</sup><sub>0</sub>(Ω) would be finite dimensional.  
Then, there exists  $\overline{u} \in \Lambda$  ken(F<sub>0</sub>) s.t.  $\overline{u} \neq o = u_{0}$ .  
Notice also that there exists t>20 such that  
 $\|t \overline{u}\|_{c} = t \|\|\overline{u}\|_{c^{1}(\infty)} \ge 1$ .  
Therefore,  $t \overline{u} \in V_{n}$  K and  $V_{n} K \neq \{o\}$ .  
Phenode:  $t \overline{u} \in V_{n} K$  and  $V_{n} K \neq \{o\}$ .  
Phenode:  $t \overline{u} \in V_{n} K$  and  $V_{n} K \neq \{o\}$ .  
Phenode:  $t \overline{u} \in V_{n} K$  and  $V_{n} K \neq \{o\}$ .  
Phenode:  $t \overline{u} \in V_{n} K$  and  $V_{n} K \neq \{o\}$ .  
Phenode:  $t \overline{u} \in V_{n} K$  and  $V_{n} K \neq \{o\}$ .  
Phenode:  $t \overline{u} \in V_{n} K$  and  $V_{n} K \neq \{o\}$ .  
Phenode:  $t \overline{u} \in V_{n} K$  and  $V_{n} K \neq \{o\}$ .  
Phenode:  $t \overline{u} \in V_{n} K$  and  $V_{n} K \neq \{o\}$ .  
Phenode:  $t \overline{u} \in V_{n} K$  and  $V_{n} K \neq \{o\}$ .  
Phenode:  $t \overline{u} \in V_{n} K$  and  $V_{n} K \neq \{o\}$ .  
Phenode:  $t \overline{u} \in V_{n} K$  and  $V_{n} K \neq \{o\}$ .  
Phenode:  $t \overline{u} \in V_{n} K$  and  $V_{n} K \neq \{o\}$ .  
Phenode:  $t \overline{u} \in V_{n} K$  and  $V_{n} K \neq \{o\}$ .  
Phenode:  $t \overline{u} \in V_{n} K$  and  $V_{n} K \neq \{o\}$ .  
Phenode:  $t \overline{u} = V_{n} K$  and  $V_{n} K \neq \{o\}$ .  
The lower semicontinuation of  $F(u_{n}) = iuf$  of  $F(u)$ :  $u \in X_{n}^{2}$ .  
The (weight form): Let  $(X, T_{X})$  be a (seq) topologically compact space and let  $F: X \rightarrow \overline{R}$  be (reg.) bound remineration on .  
Thue, there exist mine of  $F(u)$ :  $u \in X_{n}^{2}$ .  
CASE 2 Instead of orking a stronger condition on X (use will reethot in many coses it will net be possible), we can find an equivalent compactive could: tou for the functional  $\overline{F}$ .  
Def:  $\overline{F}: X \rightarrow \overline{R}$  is (topologically) coercise if, for any te R, the sublueel  $\{\overline{F} \in t\} = \{u \in X : \overline{F}(u) \ge t\}$  is related with the course the course the device of X, we remined that (in matric space) it is equivalent to the following coundition.

Def: F is requestibly coexise if any sequence 
$$\{u_i\}_i \in X$$
 satisfying  
sup  $F(u_i) < +\infty$ , admits a converging subsequence in X.  
Reuch: If we work in morned spaces  $(X, ||\cdot||)$  the consistive of F  
is equivalent to line  $F(u) = +\infty$   
 $||u|| + +\infty$   
 $\sigma_2$ , equivalently,  $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+ \ h.t. F(u) \ge ||u|| + c$ . Use X.  
If (Tondli): Let  $(X, t_X)$  be a topoly space and let  $F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be beg becaue  
and  $(seq.)$  lower surjectimeous. Then, then exists  
 $min if F(u) : u \in X_i^2$ .  
Proof: Let  $\{u_i\}_i$  be a minimizing sequence for F estimating  
 $uif F = F(u_3) \in uif F + 4$ .  $\forall J \in \mathbb{N}$ .  
Thus, dented  $t \ge uif F + 4$ ,  $\{u_i\}_j \subseteq \int F + t_j^2$   
and so there exists a subsequence  
 $\{u_{se}\}_u \in \{u_s\}_i$  convergent to  $u \in X$ .  
Moreover, low subsequence  
 $uif F = F(u_3) = line F(u_3) = uif F$   
 $x = \frac{1}{2^{n+\infty}}$ .  
Def: We define Direct Method in the calculus of minimizers.

Remorks: 1) The properties of compoctness (or coercivity) and lower semicontinuity are opposite requirements. For instance, if we consider the sequential coercivity of a given functional F: X -- R (X topological space), then it is easier to be verified if we have many converging sequences, while the sequential lower servicoutinuity of F is more easily satisfied if we have Sew converging sequences. We should there find an appropriate topology for X that balances these aspects (it will be part of the problem in what follows). 2) Note that neither the Weierstrass theorem nor the Touelli theorem guarantee the uniqueness of the minimizer. Horeover, min F could be "+ 0". . If we more to the setting of topological vector spaces, we find a sufficient coudition for the uniqueness of the minimumizer and finiteness of the minimum Def: We define (X, Zx, +, .) a topological vector space (T. V. S. in short) if a) (X, Zx) is a topological space; b) (X,+,.) is a sector space over R (or any topological field IK); c) The vector space operations +: X × X → X and ·: R × X → X are continuous. Examples: Every normed space is a topological vector space, considering the topology induced by the distance induced by the moru (so also every Bounch and Hilbert space). · Also spaces whose topology is not induced by a norm can be T.V.S.

For instance :  $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ ,  $D(\Omega) = C^{\infty}_{c}(\Omega)$ ,  $D'(\Omega)$ ...

bog: Let X be a.T. V. S. and let F: X → R be trictly convex.  
Thue, F los at most one minimum in X.  
bool: By contradiction, assume the existence of two distinct (global) minimumizers  
u. or ∈ X s.t. u + or. Then,  
F(u) = F(or) = min F < + co.  
If we consider the point 
$$\underline{u} + \underline{ar} \in X$$
, thue, by strict convexity,  
 $F(\underline{u} + \underline{\sigma}) \ge 4 [F(u) + F(or)] = min F$   
and this yields a contradiction. Therefore,  $u = \overline{\sigma}$ .  
2) The problem of the F - convegence  
SETENS: Metric spaces (for simplicity)  
We wont to stroky the behaviour of a fancily (requesca) of minimum  
problems depending on a real parameter  $\varepsilon > 0$  or, aquivalently, on a  
observe parameter  $\overline{J} \in \mathbb{N} [$  if we consider the real parameter, the limit case  
will be  $\varepsilon \to 0$  while in the diracte rating,  $\overline{J} \to +\infty$ ).  
Note that the contineous ( $\varepsilon$ ) and diracte rating ( $\overline{J}$  are related by the following rule:  
to any family of real parameters  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , we associate a sequence  $\{\varepsilon_3\}$  s.t.  
 $\varepsilon_3 \longrightarrow 0$  as  $\overline{J} \longrightarrow +\infty$ .  
Site X<sub>3</sub> be a metric space, let  $F_3$ : X<sub>3</sub> → R be a require of functionals  
and  $\xi$  for  $\overline{J}$  and  $\xi$  the  $F_3$  ( $u_1$ ):  $u \in X_3$  f,  $\overline{J} \in \mathbb{N}$ .  
ANM: As  $\overline{J}$  increases, we would like these problems the generative of functionals  
 $iii f \{F_3(u) : u \in X_3\}$ ,  $\overline{J} \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The lieuit space X should be a metric space large evough to contain any space X X<sub>3</sub> ⊆ X ¥3e M. In this way, we don't have to face the problem of defining the convergence of functionals belonging to different spaces. Without loss of generality, use will then courrider X\_= X & JEN, by identifying any functional Fz: X R with  $\overrightarrow{F_{j}}: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$   $F_{j}(u) \quad if \quad u \in X_{j}$   $F_{j}(u) \quad if \quad u \in X_{j}$   $F_{j}(u) \quad if \quad u \in X \setminus X_{j}$   $F_{j}(u) \quad if \quad u \in X \setminus X_{j}$   $F_{j}(u) \quad if \quad u \in X \setminus X_{j}$ · Let then (X, d) be a metric space and F3: X -> R and assume the existence of a sequence of minimizers 24,3 = X for 27; }, that is F3(U3) = inf of F3(u): u EX9 VJEN.  $\lim_{J \to +\infty} F_{3}(u_{3}) - \inf_{X} F_{\overline{J}} = 0.$ (\*) ⇒> (Note that, is general, such requence may not exist). . As in the case of one ringle probleme, we need a compactness conditione that eusures the convergence (up to subsequences) of { u3}. Def: We say that the functionals 2F3 } are equi-coercive if VEER 3 KCX compact s.t. of FSEtZCK Vjen (The conjugat K depends only on t but not on J). · It {F\_3} are equi-coercive, then there exists a compact set Ks.t. {U\_3}\_s K and, by compactness (in this metric setting it is equivalent to the sequential compactness), there exists u e X s.t (up to subsequences) Uz → U in X (w.r.t. the metric d).

We then ask under which hypotheses then exists a limit functional  
F: X 
$$\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
 s.t.  $F(\overline{u}) = \min \mathbb{F}$ .  
STEP1 (Lower bound)  
First, we would to obtain a lower bound for the limit belaviour of the  
limit of the required  $\{F_2(u_3)\}_3$ , of the form  
 $F(\overline{u}) \leq \liminf \mathbb{F}_2(u_3) = \liminf \mathbb{F}_3(u_5) = \lim \mathbb{F}_3(u_5)$   
Def: We define  $\mathbb{T}$ -limit inequality the following condition:  
 $\forall u \in X \forall \{n_3\}_3 \lesssim s.t. n_3 \longrightarrow u in X \Rightarrow F(u) \leq \liminf \mathbb{F}_3(u_5)$   
 $3 \rightarrow \infty$   
STEP2 (Upper bound)  
Next, we would to obtain our upper bound for limits  $\{F_3(u_5)\}_3$ , of the form  
 $\lim \mathbb{F}_3(u_5) \leq \inf \mathbb{F}_3 \leq F(\overline{u})$   
 $3 \rightarrow \infty$   
 $3 \rightarrow \infty$