

12.11.2024

Remark: The properties stated above in the framework of metric spaces, such as the "Fundamental Theorem of Γ -convergence", hold in any topological space.

Def: Let (X, τ_X) be a topological space, let $F_j : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and for any $u \in X$ denote $\mathcal{N}(u)$ the set of all open neighbourhoods of u .

We define: $\bullet (\Gamma\text{-}\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j)(u) = \sup_{U \in \mathcal{N}(u)} \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{v \in U} F_j(v)$

$\bullet (\Gamma\text{-}\limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j)(u) = \sup_{U \in \mathcal{N}(u)} \limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{v \in U} F_j(v)$.

If there exists $F : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$(\Gamma\text{-}\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j)(u) = (\Gamma\text{-}\limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j)(u) = F(u) \quad \forall u \in X,$$

we say that $\{F_j\}_j$ Γ -converges to $F = \Gamma\text{-}\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j$ in X , w.r.t. the topology τ_X .

Ex: Show that if $(X, \tau_X) = (X, d)$ is a metric space, then the topological definition is equivalent to the sequential one.

• For applications it will not be easy to work with the previous definition, which involves neighbourhoods. However, in few cases it is useful to have the definition of Γ -limit in terms of the topology of X , as in the following properties.

Prop: $\Gamma\text{-}\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j$, $\Gamma\text{-}\limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ are lower semicontinuous.

Proof: (We only study the case of $\Gamma\text{-}\liminf$. The other case is analogous). Fix $u \in X$, then

$$\liminf_{v \rightarrow u} (\Gamma\text{-}\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j)(v) = \sup_{U \in \mathcal{N}(u)} \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{v \in U} \left(\sup_{W \in \mathcal{N}(v)} \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{w \in W} F_j(w) \right)$$

w.l.o.g. $V \subseteq U$

$$\left(\inf_U \leq \inf_{V \subseteq U} \right)$$

$$\geq \sup_{U \in \mathcal{N}(u)} \inf_{v \in U} \sup_{V \subseteq \mathcal{N}(v)} \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{w \in V} F_j(w)$$

$$= \sup_{U \in \mathcal{N}(u)} \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{w \in U} F_j(w) = (\Gamma\text{-}\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j)(u) \quad \square$$

Cor: Let (X, τ_X) be a topological space and let $F_j, F : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be such that

$F = \Gamma\text{-}\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j$. Then, F is lower semicontinuous.

6) Relaxation - lower semicontinuous envelope

In the previous sections we showed that

$$F_j = F \ (\forall j \in \mathbb{N}) \xrightarrow{\Gamma} F \Leftrightarrow F \text{ is lower semicontinuous.}$$

However, the sequence $\{F_j\}_j$ has always a Γ -limit, and we now want to represent it.

Def: Let (X, τ_x) be a topological space and let $F: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$.

We define the **relaxation** of F (or lower semicontinuous envelope) at $u \in X$ the functional

$$\overline{F}(u) = \sup \{ G(u) : G \text{ is lower semicontinuous and } G \leq F \}.$$

Remark: \overline{F} is lower semicontinuous (the supremum preserves the lower semicontinuity).

Moreover, $\overline{F} \leq F$ and $\overline{F} \geq G$ for every G lower semicontinuous s.t. $G \leq F$.

• Note that the definition of \overline{F} involves the behaviour of F in the whole space X .

By means of the topology τ_x we can however provide a local characterization of \overline{F} .

Prop: Let (X, τ_x) be a topological space and let $F: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Then

$$\overline{F}(u) = \sup_{U \in \mathcal{N}(u)} \inf_{v \in U} F(v).$$

Ex: Prove the previous proposition.

• By the previous proposition, it is clear the following result.

Prop: Let (X, τ_x) be a topological space and let $F: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Then

$$F_j = F \ (\forall j \in \mathbb{N}) \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \overline{F}.$$

Proof: Since F_j does not depend on j ($F_j = F \ \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$), then $\forall u \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma\text{-}\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j)(u) &= \sup_{U \in \mathcal{N}(u)} \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{v \in U} F_j(v) = \sup_{U \in \mathcal{N}(u)} \inf_{v \in U} \underbrace{F_j(v)}_{F(v)} = \sup_{U \in \mathcal{N}(u)} \inf_{v \in U} F(v) = (\Gamma\text{-}\limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j)(u) \\ &= \overline{F}(u) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

• Once we move back to the stronger setting of metric spaces, the previous proposition provides another characterization of the relaxation of F in terms of sequences.

Prop: Let (X, d) be a metric space and let $F: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Then

$$\overline{F}(u) = \min \left\{ \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F(u_j) : u_j \rightarrow u \text{ in } X \right\} \quad \forall u \in X.$$

Proof: By the previous proposition, taking $F_j = F \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\forall u \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{F}(u) &= \left(\Gamma\text{-}\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j \right)(u) \stackrel{\text{Metric Space}}{=} \inf \left\{ \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j(u_j) : u_j \rightarrow u \text{ in } X \right\} \\ &\stackrel{F_j = F}{=} \inf \left\{ \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F(u_j) : u_j \rightarrow u \text{ in } X \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by Γ -convergence, the infimum is attained (at least for any recovery sequence $\{u_j\}_j$ satisfying (i) or (ii)), and then the thesis easily follows. \square

The following result compares Γ -limits of sequences interrelated.

Prop: Let (X, τ_x) be a topological space and let $F_j, G_j: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfy

$$F_j \leq G_j \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}. \text{ Then}$$

$$\Gamma\text{-}\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j \leq \Gamma\text{-}\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} G_j \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma\text{-}\limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j \leq \Gamma\text{-}\limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} G_j.$$

In particular, if $\{F_j\}_j$ and $\{G_j\}_j$ Γ -converge to F and G (resp.), then $F \leq G$.

Moreover, if $H: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is lower semicontinuous and $H \leq G_j \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$H \leq \Gamma\text{-}\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} G_j \leq \Gamma\text{-}\limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} G_j$$

and so, if $\{G_j\}_j$ Γ -converges to G , then $H \leq G$.

Note that the previous proposition can be improved if we compare the sequences

$\{\overline{F_j}\}_j$ and $\{F_j\}_j$, keeping in mind that $\overline{F_j} \leq F_j \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$ by definition.

In this particular case we obtain this strong result, useful in the applications.

Prop: Let (X, τ_x) be a topological space and let $F_j: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\Gamma\text{-}\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j = \Gamma\text{-}\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \overline{F_j} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma\text{-}\limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j = \Gamma\text{-}\limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \overline{F_j}.$$

In particular,

$$\{F_j\}_j \text{ } \Gamma\text{-converges to } F \text{ if and only if } \{\overline{F_j}\}_j \text{ } \Gamma\text{-converges to } F.$$

EX: Prove the two propositions above.

We conclude this section with the following application of the direct methods in calc. var.

Th: Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) be a topological space and let $F: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be mildly coercive

(i.e. there exists $K \subseteq X$ compact, $K \neq \emptyset$ s.t. $\inf_X F = \inf_K F$). Then

1) there exists $\min \{ \overline{F}(u) : u \in X \}$

2) $\min_X \overline{F} = \inf_X F$

3) $\overline{u} \in \{ u \in X : \overline{F}(u) = \min_X \overline{F} \}$ if and only if there exists a minimizing sequence for F $\{ u_j \} \subseteq X$ (i.e. $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F(u_j) = \inf_X F$) such that $u_j \rightarrow \overline{u}$ in X .

Proof: Let $F_j = F$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by previous results,

$$F_j \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \overline{F}, \text{ which is lower semicontinuous.}$$

Then, by the Fundamental Theorem of Γ -convergence, we get (1) and (2) and also

the implication " \Leftarrow " of (3). We conclude showing the reverse implication in (3).

Fix $\overline{u} \in \{ u \in X : \overline{F}(u) = \min_X \overline{F} \}$. Then, by Γ -convergence, there exists a recovery

sequence $\{ u_j \} \subseteq X$ for \overline{F} such that $u_j \rightarrow \overline{u}$ in X and

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F(u_j) \stackrel{(i)}{=} \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j(u_j) \stackrel{(ii)}{=} \overline{F}(\overline{u}) = \min_X \overline{F} \stackrel{(2)}{=} \inf_X F.$$

The conclusion follows by the arbitrariness of \overline{u} . □

7) Γ -convergence by subsequences

The last section concerning the theoretical part is devoted to two important result: the "Urysohn property of Γ -convergence" and a compactness theorem.

Before stating and proving these results, we notice as follows.

Remark: Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) be a topological space and let $F_j: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $\{ F_{j_k} \}_k$ is a subsequence of $\{ F_j \}_j$, then

$$\Gamma\text{-}\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j \leq \Gamma\text{-}\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} F_{j_k} \text{ and } \Gamma\text{-}\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} F_{j_k} \leq \Gamma\text{-}\limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j.$$

Moreover, if $F = \Gamma\text{-}\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j$, then $\{ F_{j_k} \}_k$ Γ -converges to $F \forall \{ j_k \}_k \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ increasing.

Proposition: (Urysohn property of Γ -convergence)

Let (X, τ_X) satisfy the first axiom of countability (e.g. metric spaces), and let $F_j, F: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$\{F_j\}_j$ Γ -converges to $F \iff \forall \{F_{j_k}\}_k \subseteq \{F_j\}_j \exists \{F_{j_{k_u}}\}_u \subseteq \{F_{j_k}\}_k$ s.t.

$$F_{j_{k_u}} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} F \text{ as } u \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Proof: \Rightarrow Notice that for any $\{j_k\}_k \in \mathbb{N}$ increasing

$$F = \Gamma\text{-}\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j \stackrel{\text{Remark}}{\leq} \Gamma\text{-}\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} F_{j_k} \leq \Gamma\text{-}\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} F_{j_k} \leq \Gamma\text{-}\limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j = F.$$

\Leftarrow Assume, by contradiction, that

$$F_j \not\xrightarrow{\Gamma} F, \text{ i.e. } \exists u \in X \text{ s.t.}$$

$$(a) \left(\Gamma\text{-}\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j \right)(u) < F(u), \text{ or}$$

$$(b) \left(\Gamma\text{-}\limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j \right)(u) > F(u).$$

In the first case, there exists a sequence $\{u_j\}_j \subseteq X$ s.t. $u_j \rightarrow u$ in X and

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j(u_j) < F(u) \\ \Rightarrow \exists \{F_{j_k}\}_k \subseteq \{F_j\}_j \text{ s.t. } & \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} F_{j_k}(u_{j_k}) = \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_j(u_j). \\ & \parallel \\ & \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} F_{j_k}(u_{j_k}) \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\left(\Gamma\text{-}\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} F_{j_k} \right)(u) < F(u)$ and we get a contradiction by the Remark.

In the second case, there exists $U \in \mathcal{N}(u)$ s.t.

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{v \in U} F_j(v) > F(u).$$

As before, there exists $\{F_{j_k}\}_k \subseteq \{F_j\}_j$ s.t.

$$F(u) < \limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{v \in U} F_j(v) = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{v \in U} F_{j_k}(v) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{v \in U} F_{j_k}(v)$$

and, passing to the supremum, we get a contradiction by the Remark, since

$$\Gamma\text{-}\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} F_{j_k}(u) > F(u).$$

□

Theorem: Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) satisfy the second axiom of countability (i.e. there exists a countable basis for \mathcal{T}_X). Then, every sequence $\{F_j\}_j, F_j: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ has a Γ -convergent subsequence.

Proof: By hypothesis $\exists \mathcal{B} = \{U_m\}_m$ a countable basis (of open sets) of \mathcal{T}_X .

Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the sequence

$$\left\{ \inf_{\omega \in U_m} F_j(\omega) \right\}_j \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Since $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is compact, then there exists $\{F_{j_k}\}_k \subseteq \{F_j\}_j$ such that

$$(*) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{\omega \in U_m} F_{j_k}(\omega) \text{ exists (in } \overline{\mathbb{R}}).$$

(*) holds $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$ and, by a diagonal argument, we construct

$$\{F_{j_{k_\ell}}\}_\ell \subseteq \{F_{j_k}\}_k \text{ s.t. } \lim_{\ell \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{\omega \in U} F_{j_{k_\ell}}(\omega) \text{ exists } \forall U \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Fix now $u \in X$ and denote $\mathcal{B}(u) = \{U \in \mathcal{B} \text{ s.t. } u \in U\}$ and

$$F(u) = \sup_{U \in \mathcal{B}(u)} \lim_{\ell \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{\omega \in U} F_{j_{k_\ell}}(\omega) = \left(\Gamma\text{-}\lim_{\ell \rightarrow +\infty} F_{j_{k_\ell}} \right)(u).$$

By the arbitrariness of u , we get the thesis. \square

Remark: The two previous results can be used in combination as follows:

$\forall \{F_j\}_j$ we extract a subsequence $\{F_{j_k}\}_k \subseteq \{F_j\}_j$, which Γ -converges by the previous theorem. If we then show that the Γ -limit is the same for any subsequence, then we inherit Γ -convergence for $\{F_j\}_j$, by the Uryshon property.

Since in applications the functionals $F_j, j \in \mathbb{N}$, will often depend on a continuous parameter $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we will need the following definition.

Def: We say that $\{F_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$ Γ -converges to F if $\forall \{\varepsilon_j\}_j \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ s.t. $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$, as

$$j \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ then } F = \Gamma\text{-}\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} F_{\varepsilon_j}.$$